

EEE 350 Cochran Instructor Evaluation Spring 2014

Instructor Douglas Cochran
Course No 28385
Response 7/23 **30.43%**

Part 1: Student Evaluation of the Course

1 Textbook/supplementary material in support of the course.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	7	100.00%
Good	0	0.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		

2 Value of assigned homework in support of course topics.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	7	100.00%
Good	0	0.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		

3 Value of laboratory assignments/projects in support of the course topics.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	3	42.86%
Good	1	14.29%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	3	42.86%
Avg 4.75		

4 Reasonableness of exams and quizzes in covering course material.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	5	71.43%
Good	2	28.57%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg 4.71		

5 Weight given to labs or projects, relative to exams and quizzes.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	2	28.57%
Good	2	28.57%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	3	42.86%
Avg 4.50		

6 Weight given to homework assignments, relative to exams and quizzes.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	5	71.43%

Good	2	28.57%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg 4.71		
7 Definition and application of criteria for grading.		
Response	Count	Per
Very Good	7	100.00%
Good	0	0.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		
Part 1 Overall Avg: 4.81		
Part 2: Student Evaluation of Instructor		
8 The instructor was well prepared.		
Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	100.00%
Usually	0	0.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		
9 The instructor communicated ideas clearly.		
Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	100.00%
Usually	0	0.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		
10 The instructor or assistants were available for outside assistance.		
Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	100.00%
Usually	0	0.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		
11 The instructor exhibited enthusiasm for and interest in the subject.		
Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	100.00%
Usually	0	0.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		
12 The instructor's approach stimulated student thinking.		

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	6	85.71%
Usually	1	14.29%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 4.86		

13 The instructor related course material to its applications.

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	5	71.43%
Usually	1	14.29%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	1	14.29%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 4.43		

14 The instructor's methods of presentation supported student learning.

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	100.00%
Usually	0	0.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		

15 The instructor's grading was fair, impartial, and adequate.

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	100.00%
Usually	0	0.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		

16 The instructor returned graded materials within a reasonable period.

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	100.00%
Usually	0	0.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg 5.00		

Part 2 Overall Avg: 4.92

Overall Evaluation of the Course and Instructor

17 Overall quality of the course and instruction.

Response	Count	Per
Excellent	7	100.00%
Very Good	0	0.00%
Good	0	0.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%

Avg 5.00		
18	How do you rate yourself as a student in this course?	
	Response	Count Per
	Excellent	4 57.14%
	Very Good	2 28.57%
	Good	1 14.29%
	Fair	0 0.00%
	Poor	0 0.00%
	Avg 4.43	
General Information		
19	Is this a required course in your program of study?	
	Response	Count Per
	Yes	7 100.00%
	No	0 0.00%
20	What are the average hours/week spent studying for this course?	
	Response	Count Per
	1	0 0.00%
	2	0 0.00%
	4	2 28.57%
	8	3 42.86%
	16	2 28.57%
21	What is your class standing?	
	Response	Count Per
	Graduate Student	0 0.00%
	Senior	0 0.00%
	Junior	0 0.00%
	Sophomore	7 100.00%
	Freshman	0 0.00%
22	What % of the class meetings have you attended?	
	Response	Count Per
	10 to 29	0 0.00%
	30 to 49	0 0.00%
	50 to 69	0 0.00%
	70 to 89	0 0.00%
	90 to 100	7 100.00%
23	What did you like most about this course?	
	The concepts became challenging throughout the course, but never too challenging. This kept my interest in the topic rather than saying this is too easy or too difficult and giving up on it. I enjoyed the combination of the two courses (EEE 203 and 350) because it showed how the two were intertwined and allowed the topics to flow together.	
	
	it ties well with eee203	
	
	Its application to electrical engineering is great; it fits right in with my current undergraduate research and as the class progressed I found that I had more and more powerful tools to analyze signals and understand other concepts I would research outside of class.	
	
	I liked the method in which Dr Cochran lectured, it showed how very simple equations, when related, can solve some more complex problems. Course had a good pace as well.	
	
	Incredible professor, interesting material.	
24	What did you like least about this course?	

The start to this course was rather slow and boring. It was a little too simple and could have been reviewed faster.

bees

I wish it was more related to real-world problems. I feel like the class is all about the applications but often times I felt it was all about the math... which it is, but still.

Nothing, this course was pretty straightforward and not too difficult

Nothing

25	Comments
----	----------

No other comments.

I already talked about this class on the other evaluation

Overall, great course and great professor.

Dr Cochran did a great job teaching 350 and 203 and tying them in together in a manageable way that promoted most students learning in this class.

Great class.