

## EEE 350 Cochran Instructor Evaluation Fall 2012

Instructor Douglas Cochran

Course No 71371

Response 10/36 **27.78%**

### Part 1: Student Evaluation of the Course

#### 1 Textbook/supplementary material in support of the course.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	4	40.00%
Good	5	50.00%
Fair	1	10.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.30</b>		

#### 2 Value of assigned homework in support of course topics.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	5	50.00%
Good	5	50.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.50</b>		

#### 3 Value of laboratory assignments/projects in support of the course topics.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	4	40.00%
Good	0	0.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	6	60.00%
Avg <b>5.00</b>		

#### 4 Reasonableness of exams and quizzes in covering course material.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	6	60.00%
Good	2	20.00%
Fair	1	10.00%
Poor	1	10.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.30</b>		

#### 5 Weight given to labs or projects, relative to exams and quizzes.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	4	40.00%
Good	2	20.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	4	40.00%
Avg <b>4.67</b>		

#### 6 Weight given to homework assignments, relative to exams and quizzes.

Response	Count	Per
Very Good	5	50.00%
Good	4	40.00%
Fair	1	10.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Not Applicable	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.40</b>		

<b>7</b>	<b>Definition and application of criteria for grading.</b>		
	Response	Count	Per
	Very Good	6	60.00%
	Good	4	40.00%
	Fair	0	0.00%
	Poor	0	0.00%
	Not Applicable	0	0.00%
	Avg <b>4.60</b>		
<b>Part 1 Overall Avg: 4.54</b>			
<b>Part 2: Student Evaluation of Instructor</b>			
<b>8</b>	<b>The instructor was well prepared.</b>		
	Response	Count	Per
	Almost Always	10	100.00%
	Usually	0	0.00%
	50% of the time	0	0.00%
	Occasionally	0	0.00%
	Almost Never	0	0.00%
	Avg <b>5.00</b>		
<b>9</b>	<b>The instructor communicated ideas clearly.</b>		
	Response	Count	Per
	Almost Always	9	90.00%
	Usually	1	10.00%
	50% of the time	0	0.00%
	Occasionally	0	0.00%
	Almost Never	0	0.00%
	Avg <b>4.90</b>		
<b>10</b>	<b>The instructor or assistants were available for outside assistance.</b>		
	Response	Count	Per
	Almost Always	8	80.00%
	Usually	1	10.00%
	50% of the time	1	10.00%
	Occasionally	0	0.00%
	Almost Never	0	0.00%
	Avg <b>4.70</b>		
<b>11</b>	<b>The instructor exhibited enthusiasm for and interest in the subject.</b>		
	Response	Count	Per
	Almost Always	8	80.00%
	Usually	2	20.00%
	50% of the time	0	0.00%
	Occasionally	0	0.00%
	Almost Never	0	0.00%
	Avg <b>4.80</b>		
<b>12</b>	<b>The instructor's approach stimulated student thinking.</b>		
	Response	Count	Per
	Almost Always	8	80.00%
	Usually	2	20.00%
	50% of the time	0	0.00%
	Occasionally	0	0.00%
	Almost Never	0	0.00%
	Avg <b>4.80</b>		
<b>13</b>	<b>The instructor related course material to its applications.</b>		
	Response	Count	Per
	Almost Always	8	80.00%

Usually	1	10.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	1	10.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.60</b>		

**14 The instructor's methods of presentation supported student learning.**

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	8	80.00%
Usually	2	20.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.80</b>		

**15 The instructor's grading was fair, impartial, and adequate.**

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	7	70.00%
Usually	3	30.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.70</b>		

**16 The instructor returned graded materials within a reasonable period.**

Response	Count	Per
Almost Always	8	80.00%
Usually	2	20.00%
50% of the time	0	0.00%
Occasionally	0	0.00%
Almost Never	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.80</b>		

**Part 2 Overall Avg: 4.79****Overall Evaluation of the Course and Instructor****17 Overall quality of the course and instruction.**

Response	Count	Per
Excellent	7	70.00%
Very Good	2	20.00%
Good	1	10.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.60</b>		

**18 How do you rate yourself as a student in this course?**

Response	Count	Per
Excellent	4	40.00%
Very Good	5	50.00%
Good	1	10.00%
Fair	0	0.00%
Poor	0	0.00%
Avg <b>4.30</b>		

**General Information****19 Is this a required course in your program of study?**

Response	Count	Per
Yes	9	90.00%
No	1	10.00%

**20 What are the average hours/week spent studying for this course?**

Response	Count	Per
1	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
4	4	40.00%
8	4	40.00%
16	2	20.00%

**21 What is your class standing?**

Response	Count	Per
Graduate Student	1	10.00%
Senior	0	0.00%
Junior	7	70.00%
Sophomore	0	0.00%
Freshman	2	20.00%

**22 What % of the class meetings have you attended?**

Response	Count	Per
10 to 29	0	0.00%
30 to 49	0	0.00%
50 to 69	0	0.00%
70 to 89	1	10.00%
90 to 100	9	90.00%

**23 What did you like most about this course?**

Good instruction, Professor Cochran is clearly a content expert.

The way the material was presented, It was always really easy to understand, he would make complicated things really easy to follow.

The professor showed how the course material is applicable in industry. There was minimal time wasted on information that was not applicable to exams and homework.

The instructor, as well as the coursework, contributed pretty well towards educating in the class. The pace covered most concepts very well, and helped explain the purpose of most of the problems in engineering.

Amazing professor. Easy to learn from, taught the materials necessary and actually tested on the materials taught instead of getting thrown curveballs.

It was far more interesting than I expected it to be.

**24 What did you like least about this course?**

I felt like for each of the midterms that there was not sufficient time given to get through all the questions.

the test were complicated, although there were no surprises the exams were really long, on the second exam the time was up and i had only half of the exam completed, that reflected my grade.

There were no projects for this course. I think a course project would assist students in understanding random variables much better.

The course itself covers probability, which is, to say the least, kinda boring in itself.

**25 Comments**

Overall, very educational course, if a little boring.

Cochran was an amazing professor, possibly the best I've had. It's a shame he won't be teaching anymore because I was really hoping to take more classes from him. He had review sessions before every test and it was extremely helpful. He went over the material thoroughly and told us exactly what we needed to know. The textbook was very beneficial and had everything reflected in lecture. The lecture prepared us for the homework and the homeworks prepared us for the tests.